

**REGULAR TOWN BOARD MEETING
November 16, 2021**

PRESENT:

Supervisor Richard A. Purol
Councilman Robert Penharlow
Councilman Henry Walldorff
Councilman Juan Pagan
Councilman Jay Bishop (via zoom)

OTHERS PRESENT: Town Clerk, Rebecca Yacklon, Deputy Clerk, Kyle Coughlin, Attorney Jeffrey Passafaro, Code Enforcement Officer, Ryan Mourer, Sue Hazelton, Gary Hazelton, Joe Muscato, Don Corriere, Barbara Warren, John Jay Warren, Edyta Clement, Ellen Pancerev, Louis Ippolito, Carter Town, Bob Price, Phil Leone, Lisa Briggs, Cheryle Dgiduch, Jerry Dgiduch, Allison Lang (via zoom), Mary Ann Town (via zoom), Jennifer Warren (via zoom), Paul Steele (via zoom), John Jay Warren IV (via zoom).

Supervisor Purol opened the regular Town Board meeting up at 6:31 pm with the pledge to the flag.

APPROVAL OF REGULAR MEETING MINUTES

Councilman Walldorff made a motion to approve the Regular meeting minutes of October 19, 2021. The motion was seconded by Councilman Pagan and carried.

APPROVAL OF WEST TOWN FIRE PROTECTION CONTRACT MEETING MINUTES

Councilman Pagan made a motion to approve the West Town Fire Protection meeting minutes from November 3, 2021. The motion was seconded by Councilman Penharlow and carried.

APPROVAL OF EAST TOWN FIRE PROTECTION CONTRACT MEETING MINUTES

Councilman Penharlow made a motion to approve the East Town Fire Protection meeting minutes from November 3, 2021. The motion was seconded by Councilman Walldorff and carried. Supervisor Purol abstained.

APPROVAL OF PRELIMINARY BUDGET PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES

Councilman Pagan motioned to approve the preliminary budget meeting minutes from November 3, 2021. The motion was seconded by Councilman Penharlow and carried.

ABSTRACT OF AUDITED VOUCHERS

Councilman Walldorff made a motion to approve the abstract of audited vouchers as presented by the Town Clerk on the November 16, 2021. The motion was seconded by Councilman Pagan and carried.

General Fund	\$6,034.57
Highway Fund	\$4,974.18
ELRSD	\$214.76
Bennett Improvement	\$44,439.88

OPEN COMMENTS

Jay Warren 5182 Woodlands for 42 years addressed the Town Board and stated that at the last meeting they heard from the Code Enforcement Officer that he referred the decision to the Town Board regarding the VRBO in their neighborhood. He explained that they had not heard from the Town Board regarding this matter. He explained that this was the fourth meeting they had attended, and they felt like they had gotten the run around. He explained that they presented a whole host of information about the business in the neighborhood, and showed you all the documents that proved it's a business. He further stated that they have gone through the zoning code and the zoning code purpose of it states that it is there "to protect the overall character of the Town, develops cohesive neighborhoods and minimizes conflicts among land uses." Mr. Warren explained it even states the code has been made with consideration, among other things, for the character of each district and its suitability for specific uses, in those districts. He stated that it was clear to him that no building, structure, or land should be used that is not in conformity with these regulations. Page four states R1-Districts. (The neighborhood is a R-1 district.) Its primarily used by single family residents. Single family dwellings. Except where specifically defined, all words used in this Chapter shall carry their customary meanings. The following rules shall apply to the text of this Chapter. The words "used" or "occupied", as applied to any land or building, shall be construed to include the words Intended, arranged, or designed to be used or occupied. Dwellings described in the zoning book states, A building, or portion, designed or used exclusively as the residence or sleeping place of one or more persons. The word dwelling shall not include boarding houses or rooming houses, tourist homes, motels, hotels, or other structures designed for transient residence. It also states that Dwelling, Single-Family Dwelling is described as a building containing one dwelling unit and designed or used exclusively for occupancy by one family. Then it goes on to say what the definition of a family is. Under family it describes the characteristics that you know it's a family. It also goes on to say that it must be functional equivalent of a traditional family. Mr. Warren stated that, that business does not qualify as a traditional family. Mr. Warren further explained that the code then proceeds to go on to administration. In administration it reads the zoning officer should be in charge to carry out inspections based on complaints and make a ruling. He stated so far that and that ruling is unclear. So he turned it over to the Town Board. Lastly, Mr. Warren stated that the code also stated Interpretation of Permitted Uses- When a use is not specifically listed as a "Permitted Use", "Permitted Use with Site Plan Review" or "Permitted Use with Special Permit" within any zoning district, it shall be assumed to be prohibited use unless it is determined, in a written decision by the Zoning Board of Appeals, that said use is similar to permitted uses, meets the intent specified in the Zoning Law and is not inherently a nuisance, menace or danger to the health, safety or welfare of the residents. He asked the Town Board for a second time if they could get a written interpretation of exactly what they missed. What's unclear? What part doesn't register here? If we could get that as soon as possible, they would appreciate it.

Supervisor Purol explained to Jay Warren that they had a workshop last Saturday to work on the Towns Budget and a letter was drafted up and it will be sent out to your attorney.

Sue Hazelton 5178 Woodlands Dr. regarding 5184 Woodlands Drive stated that she felt it was important to not forget that 11 properties have signed a petition which really amounts to 24 residents that live on Woodlands Dr. area. She explained that they all have been against this VRBO, a fancy word for a hotel-motel type establishment. These short-term rentals are not in the business to lose money she further stated. The town residents should be protected from these nuisance issues under the Zoning Code. She stated that she felt it was apparent this is a very disappointing situation. She stated that as the local homeowners, and she can't stress it enough that allowing single family

homes to run as a business will have affects on their homes and property. She stated that she felt town gets nothing out of this but a lot of angry residents. She explained that she felt the town has had support from these homeowners on many issues over the years. They did not ask for this interruption in their lives. This is a very important and concerning issue for them, she said. If they didn't care this would be a very empty room. She thanked the Town Board.

Jerry Dزيدuch 5158 West Shorewood Dr. stated that he echoed exactly what Jay Warren and Sue Hazelton said. He explained that this situation made no sense, it's black and white. He thanked the board for finally writing a letter. He further explained that the VRBO is a tourist attraction, in a quiet residential neighborhood. A tourist place for people traveling and staying in a place outside of their unusual environment. They come for two-four days then someone else comes. He stated that this is a quiet neighborhood, that's why they moved there. He stated that they had resided there for 10 years. He stated that they would like to know what's going on so they ca move forward. He further stated that they intend to live there all their lives and make this community better. Himself personally with his business, he just felt that they should be respected by their community leaders, the same way they as residents respect the community.

Dave Maternowski 5144 West Shorewood Dr. stated that he didn't know about this attraction until six months ago. He explained where he was located in relation to this VRBO. He stated that his property has a path that goes down to the creek and lake. For the first time this year he saw people walking down his property with chairs, beers, canoes, and bikes. He stated he had no idea who they were until the neighbors had informed him that there was a VRBO down the street. He stated that he was a zoning board member for 10 years previously. He stated that he went through the laws, and this has to go through zoning and it hasn't. He stated that they signed a petition. His involvement comes in as a concern to his family and the lack of privacy this had created. He stated that he counted people fifteen feet from his bedroom window all summer long. This was his first time attending and pleaded with the board and explained that he does not want strangers walking by his bedroom window all summer long. He stated that he understood that they could have up to ten people in that home. If you go and do the research in the last operating years of the White Inn, they had eight rooms.

Supervisor Purol had asked Dave Maternowski if he had approached these people walking on his property.

Dave Maternowski had stated that yes, he did, and they said they were renting down the street.

Supervisor Purol had asked Dave Maternowski if he had told them they can't be on his property.

Dave Maternowski said that he did tell them, and he also has no trespassing signs up as well.

In return Supervisor Purol had suggested to him to call the police in the near future if this keeps happening.

Barbara Warren 5182 Woodlands Dr. stated that at the last Town Board meeting on October 19th, I asked what are our rights? We have all worked so hard to protect our family, our homes, and our neighborhood. She explained that during this time of year, she is often going through photos for her family, and she was reminded of the beauty of where they lived. She explained that they have enjoyed that beauty for the last 43 years. She showed photos of Woodlands. She asked how a

business can come into a residential neighborhood and change the characteristics of the neighborhood, how that is aloud? She stated that the neighborhood's charm has been enhanced by the private woods that are owned by the Steele family. It was their decision not to sell the lot and develop the property. The new owners of 5184 Woodlands had a different plan. There are signs in the Town of Dunkirk that say private no trespassing. Why would the Woodlands Road be any different? She showed a photo of the sign that is at the end of the road and it says private. She stated that this summer has been anything but private. In all the years they have lived in this neighborhood they have never seen so many cars going back and forth in front of their homes. As Mr. Maternowski had mentioned we also have had all the activity, music, and vulgarity by their bedroom window all summer long. She stated that they would appreciate the Board's consideration in all of this from the residents in in our neighborhood. She thanked the Town Board.

Allison Lang 5192 Woodlands Dr. stated that over the course of many years her family had owned their cottage and are out of town owners as well. The visitors they have had stay with them, both friends and family, have asked them if they had ever considered renting out their cottage. She explained that they didn't want people coming and going. They didn't want people that their neighbors didn't know coming over and playing loud music or invading on people's privacy, so they decided never to rent it out. She stated that if people want to rent, they can go to Van Buren because that is where it is aloud. She further explained that she was curious why suddenly, the ominous is on the neighborhood to call the police if someone is running a business and has people coming in and doing things that are illegal. She asked why is that suddenly in their neighborhood they have to call the police, when we never had to do that before. She explained that everyone now must be on guard and watchful and calling the police if something happens. She further stated that she could not understand why something like this is allowed in a residential community when it was very clear to her, that even though the code does not say very specifically no VRBO's, VRBO's weren't around when the codes were written. However short-term rentals were but not VRBO's or Airbnb's. But its very clear the intent of the code is to not allow short term rentals in the Woodlands neighborhood.

Ellen Pancerev 4568 West Lake Rd. stated that she came to the Town Council a couple months ago about a fence that didn't follow the code. At the time the fence had yet to be erected but since has. According to the Town Zoning Laws at least four of the ordinances have been violated to an extent. She explained that she felt the first one was that fence was not more than sixty percent solid may be located in any front yard or in any yard with street frontage. She explained that she came to the Town to see what was actually considered the front yard. They had informed her that the front yard is considered the side facing the lake and the back yard is the street side. She stated that's how it had always been in the Town of Dunkirk even though its not written down anywhere. According to the ordinance alone the fence is illegal because its more than sixty percent solid and it's located in the front yard and in the street frontage yard. Also, within a non-industrial district, no fence or wall, other than a necessary retaining wall, over three feet in height shall extend into the front yard of any lot also all solid fences shall be so installed so that the better side shall face outward however his fence faces so the better side is inward facing. The zoning book also sates that no fences, walls or hedges of a height greater than four feet shall be erected placed or maintained between the waters of Lake Erie and the nearest point of principal building located on the premises. Any fence erected shall be constructed materials that permit a reasonable amount of light and air to pass through. The finished side of the fence shall face the neighbors. Ellen presented pictures of what her view of the lake looked like before the fence was installed and after the fence was installed. Ellen had stated that after showing the picture, it showed what side of the fence faces her side and what side of the fence the good side was facing. Ellen stated at the last meeting that she had been continually harassed by

her neighbors, and they made her sign a waiver so if she got hurt on their property that she wouldn't sue them. They asked if she would chop three inches of a cement paver, move her drain outlet because it was going in their yard, take down a chain link fence and promised that they would keep the leaves picked up if she took it down. She explained that there was an issue with the telephone pole that was erected on her property because of them that was finally resolved. She asked the Town Board at what point does the town protect their citizens and the scenic byway? Who's responsible for protecting and enforcing the zoning laws and why are they allowed to be broken this way? She stated that on one side of her house, the north side, its so bright with lights now that she had to keep three layers of light blocking on my windows. She then said that on the South side she now has a fence blocking. She stated that it feels like she is living in a tunnel with one small view of the lake which is thirty percent of what I see. She further explained that the New York State easement laws protected her when they first moved in because when the neighbors moved in, they wanted her to tear up the sidewalk that is between the two houses but both lawyers on both sides agreed it was an easement grandfathered in, therefore both herself and the neighbor have access to that sidewalk and so no one had to tear it up. She showed this with pictures for the Town Board to reference. She explained with the pictures what the new found fence covers, which was the sidewalk she was supposed to have access to. She explained that these were her issues and her questions. She said she tried to call the code enforcement officer and received no response. She had sent emails and no replies. She said she did get a call from the Town Supervisor telling her that she would probably have to sue her neighbor. Which lead to her next question which was why should she have to pay for an attorney because the town won't enforce the laws that are clearly stated and allow them to build this fence, which was totally illegal in her opinion. She further stated that she was not sure what her next step was and that's why she was here, for answers.

John Jay Warren IV said that as stated by Allison Lang, he asked why it was on the residence to prove what is already written in the zoning code? He explained that had continued to do research and homework consulting with numerous attorneys outside of the initial group that Woodlands is working with, and he has not found one attorney that said this was a gray matter. He stated that It's not, it's black and white. He stated that a single family, is one family only. There's no room for interpretation beyond that. He stated that if you want to get into the R-2 district where short term rentals and lodging etc. is referenced, then great. He said to ask the residence to over utilize the police force for matters like this, he explained that he did not think the Town could support the constant nagging of what is and could be. He stated that this is going to continue to happen and when it does happen, does the Town have the resources and collecting the tax dollars to what is happening to offset the cost for what the community is going to need? He stated that he did not believe the Town did. He stated that he felt that there is zero room for interpretation or a grey area. This investor that is next to my parent's home is going to come and go in two-three years, she's going to flip her property and sell. Which will then allow this continue in the future and all these lakefront communities will be affected. He stated that this may not be the Town's problem today, but he could guarantee at some point in the future it will be b the lake. He stated that this is the time to set precedence and enforce the laws that are already written. He stated that the Town does not have the resources to enforce and oversee drunk people and the lack in regard to people's property. Second, do you know if her homeowner's insurance is written appropriately to have renters come into her property? If it isn't written properly and the house burns down, who's going to pay to have that house tore down and rebuilt at some point and time? He stated that he could bet most people don't have \$200,000 to rebuild, is the town going to take the liability for that?

Sue Hazelton 5178 Woodlands Dr. spoke for a second time. This time Mrs. Hazelton stated that she had lived in Dunkirk her whole life. She explained that these neighborhoods in that area woodlands-Shorewood have always been private, so private that she did not even know they were there. She stated that she wouldn't dare go down there, on people's private property. She further stated that now that she lives down there, she really sees the privacy they have. She said that was why they moved down there, for the privacy.

Edytie Clement 4566 West Lake Rd. Ms. Clement state that she was Ellen's neighbor had wanted to comment on the fence she discussed. She explained that the fence that was built blocks the view of the lake from her backyard and it extends clearly at least ten feet from the front yard to the house. She stated that her understanding was that the backyard is considered from the house back not to the side of the house or way to the front. She asked the Town Board what they were going to do about the situation? She explained that the owner had a permit to build a fence six feet in the backyard and now this has extended way to the front. She said she felt that it wasn't their responsibility to pay for a lawyer. That's the Town of Dunkirk's job.

Supervisor Purol stated that they will look into the issue further.

Louis Ippolito 4568 West Lake RD. also wanted to comment on the fence issue. He stated that the fence that was put up where Ellie lives, is adjacent to his home as well. He stated that the supports that hold the fence show on our side and to the best of his knowledge, when someone erects a fence, the smooth side is supposed to be facing the neighbors' side and it is not in this case. You can see every support post every six to eight feet. He stated that it was ugly and shouldn't have ever been done that way.

TOWN CLERK REPORT (October 2021)

Clerk Fees	\$372.00
Zoning Fees	\$820.00
Dog Licenses	\$70.00
Total amount transferred to Supervisor Purol	\$1,262.00

JUSTICE REPORT (OCTOBER 2021)

Total number of cases **293**
TOTAL FINES and surcharges submitted to the Town Supervisor **\$25,902.00**

DOG CONTROL REPORT (October)

Supervisor Purol received a letter from Denise Zentz resigning from her position as Dog Control Officer for the Town of Dunkirk effective December 1, 2021.

Councilman Pagan motioned to accept her letter of resignation. The motion was seconded by Councilman Penharlow and carried.

Supervisor Purol added that she will be missed and was a great asset to the Town.

BOARD MEMBER & COMMITTEE REPORTS

Councilman Penharlow had a couple of concerns he wanted to address to the Board. One being he wanted to give his opinion on the situation with the rentals. He would like to see the Town come up with a special use permit and in that permit, we could put any restrictions we do so desire. We could

write it so it would not only effect and R-1 but an R-2 as well. There is a lot of good that could come out of a special use permit and make the zoning officers job a little bit easier. In the long term a special use permit would be very useful, and we will have to do it. This is just not a today's thing it picking up all the time everywhere.

Councilman's Penharlow had told the Board that the Town of Dunkirk Court has to hire an interpreter for anyone who can't speak English. He stated that he checked our expenses from the first of the year till today and we spent \$2,112. If this is mandated by the state, I feel the state should kick in on this. He stated the burden of the finance is on us. Would like to pursue this to higher up to the state.

HIGHWAY SUPERINTENDENT REPORT

Highway Superintendent Jeffrey Feinen was unable to attend, and no report was submitted. However, Supervisor Purol made note that the trucks are ready to go if we get any snow.

ZONING/CODE ENFORCEMENT OFFICER REPORT (Ryan Mourer)

- Credit Union former Bob Evans is open. Received Certificate of Occupancy last Wednesday. Grand opening in the future.
- Dental office on Rt 60 slowly moving
- House on Wilbur Rd the foundation is beginning to go in with the house that had some issues. Seems to be moving along now
- Fence issue on West Lake Road I would like to address

Ryan Mourer wanted to elaborate further about the fence issue on West Lake Rd. with Ellen. He stated that he went into great detail with her and spoke to her multiple times. He stated that she was very offensive to him at times and not once did I block or not take her call intentionally. She had not called his phone in months, according to Ryan. She stated that all the dialogue has been through the Deputy Clerk, Kyle. On a daily and weekly basis. He stated that he felt it was to the point where it was challenging. He explained that when they pulled a permit for that fence, he went through it on site with multiple contractors. The person that lives at the house had a difficult time getting the contractor to do the job. The last contractor they got him and myself went into great depth with what they could and couldn't do. The problem that the lady who spoke tonight has is that she doesn't have a conventional lot. She has a pie shaped lot. She has no lake front property. The way her house is positioned is a 45 degrees angle between the houses to get a view of the lake. Ryan stated that our laws allow for a six-foot-high fence. He stated that he cannot confirm if it is seven and half feet because he has not been there yet for the inspection. He stated that as far as he knew they had not erected the fence yet. He further explained that there is a grade allowance, they are allowed to have on one side vs the other side to meet the six feet height. He stated that they have clearly told me they are going to do a shadow box fence. However, he stated that it did not sound like they did that. He said if there is an issue, he will address it. He then stated that as far as the sixty percent coverage that Ellen quoted from the code, that is not a requirement on a side fence. He then told the Town Board that her view of the lake was through her neighbor's side yard. Due to this fact, unfortunately, he couldn't stop them from erecting the fence. He stated that he was against this fence, but he could not prevent it. On the rear, which Ryan called the backyard lakeside, the backyard you can't go higher than four feet on the lakeside parallel with the lake. They were doing more than sixty percent lakeside and open spindles. They have not notified him they were done yet, but these are things he will address when they call me for completion. He stated that he understood her concerns and feel

her compassion to have the view of the lake, but she didn't buy a lake front house. She bought a lake view house that unfortunately she has lost part of because she didn't buy a home with lake frontage. He said he will make sure the fence meets all codes and that's all he can do at this point. He explained that if they don't call in a day or two, he will stop in down there with permission from the property owner and identify if there are any issues and come up with a resolution. He said that if they refuse to do a correct of action, we will take it to the next step to get it done correctly.

ATTORNEY REPORT

Attorney Passafaro reported to the board that all the transfers which the board approved for the freezer warehouse with Americold & IDA have been completed and recorded there.

SUPERVISOR REPORT

Supervisor Purol reported that it's been very busy. He wasn't here for the last budget meeting, but everything seems to be coming together. He stated that the Board had a workshop to adjust the budget. At this workshop the board also discussed writing a letter to the Woodlands Attorney per request of the residents.

CORRESPONDENCE

Supervisor Purol read a letter submitted by James H. Mintun 1 Fox Run #626 Orchard Park, NY addressed to Supervisor and Board Members.

"Gentlemen:

As a former resident of the Dunkirk/Fredonia area and with many friends still residing there, I am writing on their behalf for your recent action taken on the Woodlands matter relating to the operation of a VRBO therein.

I have learned from the residents there that their petition of protection to the ongoing business being operated has been denied.

Without a doubt, this decision is ludicrous, short cited and given without much thought to the future of not only this area, but the town as a whole. As a result of such action, your neighborhoods will begin to deteriorate beyond what they have already become and discourage new people and development to the town. After all, this what zoning laws and proper enforcement is all about. Basically, it now opens the door for other property owners to do likewise. You have placed yourself in a position where run down properties will be acquired for investment and with little or no money and with nothing stopping them, they will be converted to rental income properties with four to six cars up on blocks and the rest we know, becomes history. It is not a good situation, and it is time to put the brakes on.

While it is my understanding that there are other situations similar to this within the town, it is time for the town to seek a less passive younger legal representative and replacement of the wishy-washy code enforcement officer who seems to be more interested in new development handouts and self-serving to his own business interests than dealing with the increasing number of less desirable properties within the town. It is time to take a more active hard stand to protect those lifelong residents who have worked very hard to not only acquire but maintain such properties to a standard in support of being a good neighbor and neighborhood. Without the support of the powers to be, there is little or no incentive to continue to make things better.

Thank you for allowing me to express my opinion and it is my hope that as a group, you can take a serious look at this overall problem and work towards making your town one that its people can be proud of.

Yours truly,

James H. Mintun"

EAST TOWN FIRE DEPARTMENT BUDGET

The requested amount for the East Dunkirk Fire Company was initially \$ 161,794 a 1.99 percent increase over last year generating a tax rate \$2.19 per thousand of assessed value. After the public hearing and discussion with Town board members the board decided to only give \$6,000 for the Extractor Gear instead of the \$12,000 originally asked for. This would reduce their requested amount to \$155,794 a 6 percent increase generating a tax rate of \$2.19 per thousand.

Motion was made by Councilman Penharlow to approve the Fire Protection Contract with the East Town of Dunkirk Volunteer Fire Company at \$155,794 for 2022. The motion was seconded by Councilman Walldorff and approved by a 3-0 vote. Supervisor Purol and Councilman Pagan abstained from voting.

WEST TOWN FIRE DEPARTMENT BUDGET

A motion was made by Councilman Pagan to approve the Fire Contract with the West Town Volunteer Fire Company at \$75,123 for 2022 generating a tax rate of \$1.93 per thousand of assessed value. The motion was seconded by Councilman Penharlow and carried.

PRELIMINARY BUDGET TOWN OF DUNKIRK

A motion was made by Councilman Walldorff to adopt the preliminary budget as the final budget for 2022 in the amount of \$1,108,422 including special districts of \$368,188. The amount of tax to be raised for General Fund was \$9,494 and for the Highway Fund \$79,995. The proposed budget generating a tax rate of .83 per thousand of assessed value. The motion was seconded by Councilman Pagan and carried.

SOLAR/BATTERY STORAGE

A motion was made by Councilman Pagan to schedule a Public Hearing at 6:15 P.M. December 21, 2021 to adopt the Solar Law. The motion was seconded by Councilman Penharlow and carried.

A motion was made by Councilman Pagan to schedule a Public Hearing at 6:25 P.M. December 21, 2021 to adopt the Battery Storage Law. The motion was seconded by Councilman Penharlow and carried.

A motion was made by Councilman Penharlow to declare the Town Board Town of Dunkirk as lead agent for the State Environment Quality Review Act for these two local laws. The motion was seconded by Councilman Walldorff and carried.

APPROVAL OF 2022 HOLIDAY LIST

Councilman Penharlow made a motion to approve the Holiday Closing schedule for the year 2022. The motion was seconded by Councilman Pagan and carried.

ASSESSOR-SHARED SERVICES AGREEMENT

Councilman Walldorff made a motion for supervisor Purol to sign the shared service agreement with three other Townships to secure the Town Assessor for the next two years. The motion was seconded by Councilman Penharlow and carried.

SHOREWOOD WATER

Supervisor Purol informed the Board that we ran out of time and didn't meet the deadline on this project, so we are now pushed back till June of next year.

Attorney Passafaro stated that the Board can still proceed with the 202-B project so we can be all set and ready when the time comes in June.

ADJOURNMENT

With no further business, a motion was made by Councilman Penharlow and seconded by Councilman Walldorff. The motion was carried unanimously, and the meeting was adjourned at 7:36 pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Rebecca Yacklon
Town Clerk